

Facesheet for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009
 Agency: USFS - Cleveland National Forest
 Application: General Application Requirements

6/2/2009

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700165

Agency Information

(Carefully read the instructions before completing this form)

1. Agency Information

- a. Agency Name USFS - Cleveland National Forest
- b. Organizational Unit
- c. Address 10845 Rancho Bernardo Road, Suite 200
- e. City San Diego State CA Zip 92127
- f. Federal Id Number 61-9445623 DUNS Number
- g. Agency fiscal year (beginning month and January-01 day)
- h. Agency Type (Please check one)
- ☐ City ☐ County ☒ U.S. Forest Service
- ☐ U.S. Forest Service - Patrol District ☐ U.S. Bureau of Land Management ☐ Other Federal Agency
- ☐ Federally Recognized Native American Tribe ☐ Educational Institution ☐ Nonprofit Organization - 501(c)(3) status only
- ☐ State Agency ☐ District

2. Project Information

- a. Project Name General Application Requirements
- b. Is implementing agency same as Agency (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No
- c. Implementing Agency Name
- d. Amount of Funds Requested Project Cost

Project Request(s) Summary

#	Project Type	Project Title	Grant Request	Match	Total Project Cost
1	G08-02-02-G01	Ground Operations, South	140,000	174,000	314,000
2	G08-02-02-G02	Ground Operations, North	67,000	139,000	206,000
3		TOTAL	207,000	313,000	520,000

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # _____

APP # 700165

3. Contact

a. Authorized Representative

Name	William Metz					
Title	Forest Supervisor					
Mailing Address	10845 Rancho Bernardo Drive					
City	San Diego	State	CA	Zip	92127	
Telephone	(858) 674-2982			Fax	(858) 673-6192	
E-mail Address	wmetz@fs.fed.us					

b. Project Administrator

Name	Tim Cardoza					
Title	Forest Recreation Officer					
Mailing Address	3348 Alpine Blvd.					
City	Alpine	State	CA	Zip	91901	
Telephone	(619) 445-6235 - 3418			Fax		
E-mail Address	tcardoza@fs.fed.us					

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700165

A. Location Map

Attachments:

[Location Map](#)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700165

A. Equipment Inventory

Has your agency purchased any Equipment with OHV Trust Funds within the last five (5) ☒ Yes ☐ No
years? (Please select Yes or No)

#	Item Description	Make	Model	Model Year	Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) or Serial Number	Project Agreement Number
1	ATV	Honda	TRX250EX 8	2008	1HFTE270684701068	OR-2-C-55
1	Motorcycle	Honda	CRF230F8	2008	9C2ME09098R000795	OR-2-C-55

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700165

PART 1 - ITEM 1. DETERMINE THE NEED FOR FULL FULL HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP)

All Applicants submitting Projects involving Ground Disturbing Activities are subject to HMP requirements. The HMP must cover the combined Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities.

Applicants able to certify that none of the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit only HMP Part 1. Applicants who cannot certify that the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation do not contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit HMP Parts 1 and 2.

1. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)
2. Can the Applicant certify that none of the proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats? (If you checked 'Yes', you are done with HMP) (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☒ No

PART 2 - RISK ANALYSIS, MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND REPORTING

PART 2 - Section I. Summary of HMP Changes

Has the Applicant previously submitted a HMP Part 2 that is currently in use in the proposed Project Area? (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No

Table 1 - Summary of HMP Changes

Changes from Previous Year	Section Where Change Occurs
No Changes	No Changes

PART 2 - Section II - Special Status Species

Table 2 - Table of All Special-Status Species and Any Other Species of Local Concern That Were Considered for Inclusion in the HMP

Species	Listing Status	Habitat	Potential for Occurrence	Addressed by HMP? If not explain why?

PART 2 - Section III - Map(s) of Project Area

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 3

Table 3 - Data (Including Baseline Data) and Management Program for Species and/or Sensitive Habitats

Species/Habitat	Known Information	Methodology	Concerns / Risks / Uncertainties	Management Objective(s)	Management Action(s)	Success Criteria

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 4

Table 4: Summary of HMP Monitoring Program

Species/Habitat	Change Detection Methodology	Effectiveness Monitoring Methodology, Including Triggers	Identify Any Applicable Validation Monitoring (Focused Studies)

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 5

Table 5. Management Review and Response; Adaptive Management

Monitoring Methodology	How Monitoring Information Will Inform Management	How Data Will Be Analyzed	Management Response to Identified Triggers	Who Will Plan Management Response

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 6

Table 6: Previous Year's Monitoring Results

Monitoring Accomplishments	Results	Were Objectives and Success Criteria Achieved?
Monitored OHV areas and trails	Identified areas for additional fencing, signs, and enforcement to be carried out with the help of this years grant application.	Yes
Monitored success of barriers and fencing installed at Corral Canyon after the Horse Fire in July 2006	Barriers and fence have been installed.	Yes
Monitored use of existing trails to ensure users were remaining on trail.	See items above; at other selected sites such as Gunslinger trail at Corral Canyon users were staying on trails	Yes

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 7

Table 7: Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

Management Actions	Species/ Habitat	Date Completed or Planned - mm/dd/yyyy	Changes Needed to HMP
The following areas have been identified for closure and restoration of unauthorized routes : south Bear Valley and Long Valley loops; La Posta Road area ; Corral Canyon, Bobcat, and Wildomar campgrounds, and Skye Valley hill climb.	Coastal Rosy Boa, San Diego Horned Lizard, and Engelmann Oak	01/01/2010	N/A
Work is continuing on the route designation process, which will formally designate OHV routes within the Corral Canyon and Wildomar area and identify other roads to be added to the Forest road system	Coastal Rosy Boa, San Diego Horned Lizard, and Engelmann Oak	12/31/2009	N/A
Continue to monitor success of new fencing and barriers at Corral Canyon OHV area	Coastal Rosy Boa, San Diego Horned Lizard, and Engelmann Oak	12/31/2009	N/A
Close Los Pinos Hill climb	Coastal Rosy Boa, San Diego Horned Lizard, and Engelmann Oak	12/31/2009	N/A

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year's Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 8

Table 8 Management Actions Taken in Response to HMP-related Public Concerns

Concern Raised by Public	Actions Taken to Address the Concern
None	None

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700165

A. Soil Conservation

- a. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No) ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Soil Conservation Plan

Attachments:

[Soil Conservation Plan](#)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700165

A. Public Notification Efforts

Check all that apply: (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Notice to interested Parties/Groups (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [03/02/2009]
- ☒ Published on Applicant's Website (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [03/02/2009]
- ☐ Published in Newspaper
- ☒ News Release Issued
- ☒ Public Meeting(s) Hearing(s) Held

B. Public Comments

We only recieved two public comments. One was in regards to O&M project South requesting that we include volunteer hours in our matching contributions. The pther was positive support.

We recieved a couple minor comments from the OHV Division we responded to. Also in the process of the application preparation we recieved an inquiry concerning a grant extension request about possible overlap between the existing grant and our pending application. We modified our application to eliminate the overlap in response to the inquiry from the Division.

C. Application Development as a result of Public Comments

- a. Were changes mades to the Application as a result of public comments? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No
Yes or No)
- b. Describe how public comments affected the Application
Added volunteer hours to matching contributions for O&M South project.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700165

1. Applicant Certifications

A. General Conditions

- A. The Applicant hereby certifies, under the penalty of perjury, compliance with the following ☒ terms and conditions:
1. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees to monitor the condition of soils and wildlife in the Project Area each year in order to determine whether the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to Public Resource Code (PRC), Section 5090.35 and the HMP prepared pursuant to Section 5090.53(a) are being met.
 2. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion, to repair and prevent accelerated erosion, until the same soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is met.
 3. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion until the same HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is met.
 4. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of off-highway motor vehicles and the other provisions of Division 16.5 (commencing with Section 38000) of the Vehicle Code and to enforce the other applicable laws regarding the operation of off-highway motor vehicles.
 5. The Applicant agrees to cooperate with appropriate law enforcement entities to provide proper law enforcement at and around the Facility.
 6. The Applicant's Project is in accordance with local or federal plans and the strategic plan for OHV Recreation prepared by the OHMVR Division.

B. Programmatic Conditions

B. The Applicant must describe the following programmatic conditions:

1. Identify the potential for the facility to reduce illegal and unauthorized OHV Recreation activities in the surrounding areas:

Corral Canyon / Bear Valley and Wildomar OHV areas provide legal OHV opportunities in close proximity to large urban populations in San Diego, Orange and Riverside Counties. For many people these are the closest legal riding opportunities. If these areas were not open, illegal OHV use would be rampant on both public and private lands in the area. As it is some illegal OHV activity is still occurring, but when confronted by law enforcement, the perpetrators are directed to our legal OHV opportunities.

2. Describe how the Applicant is meeting the operations and maintenance needs of any existing OHV Recreation Facility under its jurisdiction:

A mix of OHV grant trust fund resources and Forest Service resources are used to meet the operation and maintenance needs of the areas. Partnerships with local law enforcement agencies and user groups also supports operation and maintenance activities.

C. Fee Collection

Describe how fees collected pursuant to Section 38230 of the Vehicle Code (in-lieu funds) are utilized and whether the fees complement the Applicant's proposed Project:

D. Compliance with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C)

Projects within the O&M category that affect lands identified as inventoried roadless areas by the U.S. Forest Service, are compliant with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C). (Please select Yes or No)



Yes



No

2. Governing Body Resolution

3. Land Manager Authorization

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700165

1. OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

- a. Does the land manager agency provide legal OHV riding opportunity? (Please select ☒ Yes ☐ No Yes or No)

Starting (Month/Year) 01/2008

Ending (Month/Year) 12/2008

- b. Off-Highway Vehicle Opportunity Ratio (OHV Ratio) opportunity

- i. Months of OHV Opportunity (OHV Months) 12

- ii. Total Miles Of Routes Available For OHV Recreation 195

- iii. Total Acres Of Open Riding Available For OHV Recreation 0

- iv. OHV Visitation (visitor days) 415660

- v. Ratio of OHV Visitation/OHV Opportunity 2131.59

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary (2)

- c. Reference Document that support the responses to a. and b. on previous page

National Visitor Use Monitoring Report, 2002 (2008-2009 NVUM is in progress)

California Dept. of Parks and Recreation News Release, Off-Highway Fuel Tax Study Released, 12/20/2006
(State parks cites a 112% increase in OHV recreation over 5 years or 22.4% per year since 2001)

2008 DMV data shows 51% of all OHV's registered in the state are located in San Diego, Orange, Riverside, and San Bernardino Countys all within a 1 hour drive of Cleveland National Forest.

Cleveland National Forest Land Management Plan, 2005 (pt. 2, pg 28 & 29)
<http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/cleveland/projects/forestplan/index.shtml>

Cleveland National Forest Recreation Map
Corral Canyon OHV Area Map

Additional references available upon request from Cleveland National Forest.

- d. Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) = OHV Ratio x OHV Months / 12 2131.59

Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score 5

2. Quality of OHV Opportunity

Land Manager's OHV program 12

Check all that apply (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available for distribution at no cost (2 points)
- ☒ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available on the Land Manager's website (2 points)
- ☒ Map indicates relative difficulty of each OHV trail (2 points)
- ☒ Map indicates appropriate OHV use type (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, etc.) (2 points)
- ☒ At least fifty percent of the staging areas include support facilities (restrooms, picnic tables, trash cans, shade structures) (2 points)
- ☒ Majority of trail intersections are signed with information such as: trail names, directional signs, relative difficulty, mileage to next feature (2 points)

3. Variety of OHV Opportunity

- a. Skill levels (e.g., beginner, intermediate, advanced) indicated by publicly available maps or signage marking trails with relative difficulty 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ 3 or more skill levels (5 points) ☐ 2 skill levels (3 points)
☐ 1 skill level (1 point) ☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

- b. Type of OHV Opportunity (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, RUV, Sand Rail/Dune Buggy) 6

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ Opportunities for 3 or more vehicle types (6 points) ☐ Opportunities for 2 vehicle types (3 points)
☐ Opportunity for only 1 vehicle type (1 point) ☐ Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)

4. Agency Contribution

Cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year (not to include cost of indirect overhead): 1,159,180

% Funded by OHV Trust Fund (do not include in-lieu funds): 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No OHV Trust Funds were used (6 points)
☐ 10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (4 points)
☒ 11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)
☐ 26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)
☐ More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (No points)

Reference Document

Supporting documentation available upon request from Cleveland National Forest.

5. Project Performance

For Applicant's OHV grant Projects which reached the end of the Project performance period within the last two years, the percentage of all deliverables accomplished 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)
☒ 75% to 99% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)
☐ Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished (No points)
☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)

6. Previous Year Performance

In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit. 3

FOR DIVISION USE ONLY (Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit (3 points)
☐ First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)
☐ In the previous year the Applicant has not been responsive (No points)

7. Prevention of OHV trespass

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Fence (Page 1)

- a. Is site a completely fenced facility such that OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas is prevented? 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ No (answer items b and c) ☐ Yes (10 points, explain and then skip to item 8)

Explain 'Yes' response:

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Patrol (Page 2)

- b. The majority of OHV Opportunity areas are patrolled (Check the one most appropriate) 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ At least 5 days per week (5 points)
☐ At least once per week (3 points)
☐ At least once per month (1 point)
☐ Less than once per month (No points)

Explain patrol efforts (e.g., frequency of patrol, patrol personnel, percent of lands covered by patrols)

We have full time OHV staff (FPO), 4 LEO's, and several FPO's engaged in OHV patrol efforts on a part-time basis.

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Measures (Page 3)

- c. Measures to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas 5

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Barriers and/or signing are used to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas (3 points)
☒ Education programs, maps and/or brochures provided to the public address OHV trespass, including respect for private property (2 points)

Explain measures utilized to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas

Steel pipe barriers and fencing are installed in critical areas along motorized trails and Level 2 roads to protect sensitive resources, intrusion into closed areas, and to protect against wilderness intrusions.

8. OHV Education

8 OHV Education - Page 1

- a. Education materials available onsite 10

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Free literature is provided to visitors describing safe and responsible OHV recreational practices (5 points)
☒ Bulletin boards, signs or kiosks, at the majority of staging areas, trailheads, or other areas where the public gathers provide information concerning safe and responsible OHV Recreation (5 points)

- b. Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices: 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 50 or more per year (3 points) ☐ 20 to 49 times per year (2 points)
☐ 5 to 19 times per year (1 point) ☒ Less than 5 times per year (No points)

8. OHV Education - Page 2

- c. When Facility is open, staff are available at trailheads, visitor centers and/or entrance stations to provide information on safe and responsible OHV use 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ Daily (5 points) ☐ On all weekends (4 points)
☐ On the majority of weekends (2 points) ☐ On major holidays (1 points)
☐ None of the above (No points)

- d. ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are offered 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ Weekly (3 points) ☐ Monthly (1 point)
☒ Less frequently than monthly (No points)

Describe Land Manager's onsite education efforts:

We have issued a Special Use Permit for a private party to conduct this training on forest lands. We have a certified instructor who trains employees in ATV use.

9. Website

- a. OHV outreach efforts are accomplished through the Land Manager's website 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No (skip to question 10) ☒ Yes (provide URL address and answer item b)

Provide URL address <http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/cleveland/recreation/ohv/index.shtml>

- b. The Land Manager's website contains the following items 5

(Check all that apply) - Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 5 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map to location | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hours of operation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Safety information |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Visitor facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contact information | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information on responsible riding | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map of Facilities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee schedule |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Seasonal restrictions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Link to Division Website | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Law enforcement contact information |

10. OHV Outreach

Check all forms of OHV outreach the Applicant utilizes: 2

Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 3 points. (Please select applicable values)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Billboards | <input type="checkbox"/> CDs and/or DVDs |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Community meetings | <input type="checkbox"/> OHV dealers |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fairs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> News releases |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify) | <input type="checkbox"/> Television |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Parades | <input type="checkbox"/> Radio |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Programs at schools | |

11. Natural and Cultural Resources

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 1

- a. Is the Land Manager's OHV area a completely fenced track facility with little or no native vegetation?
0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☒ No (answer item b)

☐ Yes (5 points, explain and then skip to item 12)

Explain 'Yes' response

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 2

b. Resource Management Information System 5

Does the Land Manager maintain a management information system managed by qualified environmental staff that identifies and monitors the impacts of the OHV activity and contains at least the following:

- Ongoing survey/inventory of species
- Ongoing survey/inventory of archeological sites
- Biological monitoring that measures changes in populations
- Components that evaluate the effects of OHV recreation and related activity on the species;
- Recommendations for improvement in species management
- Strategies to respond to changing conditions that affect the survival or reproduction of species? (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Reference Document

Cleveland National Forest Land Management Plan, 2005
<http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/cleveland/projects/forestplan/index.shtml>

Additional documentation available from Cleveland National Forest upon request.

12. Soil Management

12. Soil Management - Page 1

a. Land Manager has developed a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions of its OHV Opportunities? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response A trail condition inspection and maintenance prioritization system is in place

b. Land Manager has developed methods to address soil issues? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (No points)

☒ Yes (5 points)

Explain 'Yes' response Regular maintenance according to Forest Service trail standards and approved BMP's

12. Soil Management - Page 2

c. Land Manager performs soil monitoring 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ Monthly (3 points)

☐ After major rain events (2 points)

☒ Annually (No points)

13. Sound Level Testing

The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing 0

(Check only one if applicable) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ On most (50% or more) holidays and weekends (4 points)
- ☐ At least 25% but less than 50% of holidays and weekends (2 points)
- ☒ Less than 25% of holidays and weekends (No points)

Describe the sound testing program

We have testing equipment on hand and use it several times a year with volunteers and staff.